

Grammatical Changes of *yád* ‘which’ and *átha* ‘then’ in Sanskrit Prose

Qianqian Zhang

(Fuzhou University of International Studies and Trade)

Keywords: <Sanskrit, grammatical changes, conditional, topic, subordinator>

Sanskrit has been used for a long time, and therefore many grammatical changes have occurred in its history. In this presentation, we will discuss the grammatical changes of two words, *yád* ‘which’, and *átha* ‘then’. We will investigate the instances with *yád* and *átha* in the early Sanskrit prose, mainly based on *Śatapatha-Brāhmaṇa of Mādhyandina Recension* (ŚBM), a ritual text written in prose around circa 7th – 6th century BCE which contains two chronological layers, namely older and newer. We will show that *yád* originally introduces relative clauses, and then *átha* *yád* or *yád* began to be used as a topic marker. After that, *átha* began to be used as a topic marker alone. At last, *átha* began to have the function of conditional conjunction. The changes can be summarized as follows.

Step I–Step II: *yád* was originally a relative pronoun, but by circa 7th - 6th BCE, in the older layer of ŚB, it had already obtained usage as an independent sentential adverb that introduces the topic of the verse. For instance,

(1) *átha yád átra bahispavamānēna stuvaté.* (ŚBM 4.2.5.9)
then REL. here Bahishpavamana-INST.SG. praise-PRS.3PL.

And as to why they chant the Bahishpavamana here. (Eggeling 1885 vol 2: 309)

In this instance, no head nouns are found, so we cannot analyze it as a relative clause introduced by *yád*, and *átha* here is in its original meaning ‘then’.

Step II–Step III: later, in the newer layer of ŚB, *átha* replaced *yád* in that function. For instance,

(2) *áthāta āvīd evá.* (ŚBM 13.8.1.17)
ÁTHA-hence order-NOM.SG.F indeed
Now as to the order of procedure. (Eggeling 1885 vol 5: 428)

Step III–Step IV: according to Speijer (1886:375), *átha* obtained another function as a conditional conjunction in Classical Sanskrit (from circa 5th century BCE), as in (3).

(3) *átha vā dattabhogyena dhanena te prayojanam*
if give-PP-to be enjoyed riches-INS.SG. you-ACC.SG. object-ACC.SG.
tad upabhuktadhanaṁ karomi. (Speijer 1886:375)
DEM.NOM.SG. Upabhuktadhana-ACC.SG. do-PRES.1.SG.

If you want riches which give enjoyment, I will make you (like) Upabhuktadhana.

The function of *átha* as a conditional conjunction may come from the use of topic markers. The change between topic to conditional is common typologically, as Haiman (1978) proposed that conditional clauses are topics with the logical method of explication. We argue that the same semantic change has occurred on *átha*.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the General Program Number JAS23172 of Philosophy and Social Science Research in the Fujian Education System.

References

- Eggeling, Julius (1882–1900) *The Śatapátha-Brāhmaṇa, According to the Text of the Mādhyandina School*. 5 volumes. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Haiman, John (1978) Conditionals are topics. *Language* 54(3). 564–589.
- Lehmann, Winfred P. (1982) *Development of conditional clauses in Early Sanskrit*. Paper delivered at the American Oriental Society Meeting, March 30th. 1982.
- Speijer, J. S. (1886) *Sanskrit Syntax*. Leyden: Brill.
- Traugott, Elizabeth Closs (1985) Conditional markers. Haiman, John (ed.) *Iconicity in Syntax*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.